

TreeStuff Aerial Rescue Rally by Kask Scenario 3 - Dudek



Treestuff.com/Rescue-Rally Watch The Rescue Here: https://youtu.be/4RvHjqaePYo		
Category	Score (X/5)	Notes & Commentary from Officials
Site assessment and creation of plan	2.5	Assessment seemed too casual, not vocalized very well I didn't hear anything of a risk assessmentonly a plan Delegated responsibility. No plan communicated. Cursory tree inspection, dead limb not mentioned. Good 911 call, did not elaborate on casualty condition (swollen neck, etc) No description of the hazard assessment or plan. Good detail on 911 call; "high angle", "repeat the address back to me."
Contacted emergency services; quality of explanation and info provided	3.8	
Efficiency, speed, and safety	4.3	Line was already set, didn't seem realistic. Climber didn't do any further aerial inspection very little continued assessment of casualty. Access was very fast Efficient ascent. No team comms. No further assessment. Jason lanyard overhead while connected to side D's.
Continued inspection and assessment	2.3	
Identification of hazards	1.0	Nothing was mentioned about the dead limb, but there rescue took the climber out and always from being under iti till the end when they were all below it There was none No mention of dead limb. No mitigation. No mention of the hazard above the injured climber.
Method and execution of mitigation	1.5	
Assessment of casualty situation	1.3	No care was given to the victim, not even really done on the ground either This was drop and run No casualty assessment. No first aid or packaging. However, given the scenario I believe getting casualty to the ground quickly is paramount. No assessment, minimal care.
Administration of first aid & assessing of urgency	1.8	
Adequate and appropriate stabilization of casualty for transport	1.8	
Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in	3.5	Their system worked well and quick, but no real care was shown to the victim Hmmmm I would normally cringe at collapsing the casualty's hitch remotely. However, this was a clever use of that technique. Enough friction in the system to prevent a crash to the ground and Jason had hands on the rope, controlling the speed of descent Effective system but did not assess the injured.
Quality of transport for the casualty	2.8	
Quality of transition from suspension to injury appropriate position on ground	2.3	No transfer to EMS ?? No assessment of victim on the ground ?? This rescue was too quick and rushed He's all yours Laid flat. Clean site. No transition to EMS Efficient lowering and disconnect, just mentioned that EMS was there.
Care of site, scene, and equipment	3.8	
Transition of care to EMS	1.5	
Communicating the plan	3.0	They spoke to the victim a bit, but nothing was really done in regards to care The body retrieval plan was communicated but that was all Team worked efficiently, but I heard no plan communicated. No hazard comms. A few attempts at comm with the casualty, but otherwise nothing. Delegation of plan components in the beginning but did not address the hazard.
Communicating the hazards	1.5	
Communicating with, for, and about the casualty	1.8	
Reaction	2.8	
Planning	2.5	This rescue seems too rehearsed and unrealistic. More care needed to be shown to the victim, at least when they got them to the ground. There wasn't even any mention to the mechanism of injury ?? This felt rehearsed to the second Quick and efficient, but canned. Overall, very realistic for a non-emergnecy.
Overall Realism	2.0	



