CategoryScore (X / 5)Site assessment and creation of plan3.3Contacted emergency services; quality of explanation and info provided3.5Efficiency, speed, and safety3.8Continued inspection and assessment3.0Identification of hazards2.5Method and execution of mitigation3.8Adequate and appropriate tabilization of casualty for transport3.3Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in3.5Quality of transport for the casualty3.5Quality of transition from suspension to injury appropriate position on ground4.5	Notes & Commentary from Officials The plan was formulated calmly and with clarity. Hard to hear their plan, but everyone seemed to know what the were doing Some tree assessment. Did not hear plan communicated. Difficult to hear 911 call. Brief mention of potential hazards, good job of calling the spouse for additional information. Didn't hear the 911 call. No mention of the hazardous limb above the climber. Good job of involving EMS! Climber didn't really speak at all. Sometimes in Spanish. His MRS system worked very well and offered him an escope if he were swarmed by the bees. Good choice Body thrust ascent, not efficient, but safe. Epi pen mentioned. Light team comms. Efficient access. They didn't really talk about hazards and didn't do anything about them hazards were identified but the hanger wasn't really acknowledged Dead limb identified. Cone placed below. Not really discussed. Work carried out below dead limb. No mention of the hazards or mitigation. I liked that they gave the Epi pen in the tree, or as soon as possible. I would have had the pen on me already and had th lowering line attached to my bet. Could have saved a bunch of time. Otherwise they didn't really show any care to the patient twile aloft the whole crew reminded the rescuer to stay aware of the casualty's status. Cool points Cursory casualty assessment. Epi pen administered in tree with instruction from EMS. Climber with visor up. Good communication and in the tree assessment, nice job of getting EMS involved.
Category(X / 5)Site assessment and creation of plan3.3Contacted emergency services; quality of explanation and info provided3.5Efficiency, speed, and safety3.8Continued inspection and assessment3.0Identification of hazards2.5Method and execution of mitigation3.8Adequate and appropriate tabilization of first aid tcasualty support/tie in4.3Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in3.5Quality of transport for the casualty3.5Quality of transition from suspension to injury appropriate position on ground4.5	Notes & Commentary from Officials The plan was formulated calmly and with clarity. Hard to hear their plan, but everyone seemed to know what the were doing Some tree assessment. Did not hear plan communicated. Difficult to hear 911 call. Brief mention of potential hazards, good job of calling the spouse for additional information. Didn't hear the 911 call. No mention of the hazardous limb above the climber. Good job of involving EMS! Climber didn't really speak at all. Sometimes in Spanish. His MRS system worked very well and offered him an escope if he were swarmed by the bees. Good choice Body thrust ascent, not efficient, but safe. Epi pen mentioned. Light team comms. Efficient access. They didn't really talk about hazards and didn't do anything about them hazards were identified but the hanger wasn't really acknowledged Dead limb identified. Cone placed below. Not really discussed. Work carried out below dead limb. No mention of the hazards or mitigation. I liked that they gave the Epi pen in the tree, or as soon as possible. I would have had the pen on me already and had th lowering line attached to my belt. Could have saved a bunch of time. Otherwise they didn't really show any care to the patient while aloft the whole crew reminded the rescuer to stay aware of the casualty's status. Cool points Cursory casualty assessment. Epi pen administered in tree with instruction from EMS. Climber with visor up. Good communication and in the tree assessment, nice job of getting EMS involved.
creation of plan3.3Contacted emergency services; quality of explanation and info provided3.5Efficiency, speed, and safety3.8Continued inspection and assessment3.0Identification of hazards2.5Method and execution of mitigation2.0Assessment of casualty situation3.8Administration of first aid & assessing of urgency4.3Adequate and appropriate tabilization of casualty for transport3.5Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in3.5Quality of transport for the casualty3.5Quality of transition from suspension to injury appropriate position on ground4.5	The plan was formulated calmly and with clarity. Hard to hear their plan, but everyone seemed to know what the were doing Some tree assessment. Did not hear plan communicated. Difficult to hear 911 call. Brief mention of potential hazards, good job of calling the spouse for additional information. Didn't hear the 911 call. No mention of the hazardous limb above the climber. Good job of involving EMS! Climber didn't really speak at all. Sometimes in Spanish. His MRS system worked very well and offered him an escape if he were swarmed by the bees. Good choice Body thrust ascent, not efficient, but safe. Epi pen mentioned. Light team comms. Efficient access. They didn't really talk about hazards and didn't do anything about them hazards were identified but the hanger wasn't really acknowledged Dead limb identified. Cone placed below. Not really discussed. Work carried out below dead limb. No mention of the hazards or mitigation. I liked that they gave the Epi pen in the tree, or as soon as possible. I would have had the pen on me already and had th lowering line attached to my belt. Could have saved a bunch of time. Otherwise they didn't really show any care to the patient while aloft the whole crew reminded the rescuer to stay aware of the casualty's status. Cool points Cursory casualty assessment. Epi pen administered in tree with instruction from EMS. Climber with visor up. Good communication and in the tree assessment, nice job of getting EMS involved. Good idea using a new line, but the patient was still hanging uncomfortably Transferring the victim from one system to another holds an increase in risk. It seemed unnecessary. Transferred off of V rig. Gentle handling. No
services; quality of explanation and info provided3.5Efficiency, speed, and safety3.8Continued inspection and assessment3.0Identification of hazards2.5Method and execution of mitigation2.0Assessment of casualty situation3.8Administration of first aid & assessing of urgency4.3Adequate and appropriate tabilization of casualty for transport3.3Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in3.5Quality of transport for the casualty3.5Quality of transition from suspension to injury appropriate position on ground4.5	were doing Some tree assessment. Did not hear plan communicated. Difficult to hear 911 call. Brief mention of potential hazards, good job of calling the spouse for additional information. Didn't hear the 911 call. No mention of the hazardous limb above the climber. Good job of involving EMS! Climber didn't really speak at all. Sometimes in Spanish. His MRS system worked very well and offered him an escape if he were swarmed by the bees. Good choice Body thrust ascent, not efficient, but safe. Epi pen mentioned. Light team comms. Efficient access. They didn't really talk about hazards and didn't do anything about them hazards were identified but the hanger wasn't really acknowledged Dead limb identified. Cone placed below. Not really discussed. Work carried out below dead limb. No mention of the hazards or mitigation. I liked that they gave the Epi pen in the tree, or as soon as possible. I would have had the pen on me already and had th lowering line attached to my belt. Could have saved a bunch of time. Otherwise they didn't really show any care to the patient while aloft the whole crew reminded the rescuer to stay aware of the casualty's status. Cool points Cursory casualty assessment. Epi pen administered in tree with instruction from EMS. Climber with visor up. Good communication and in the tree assessment, nice job of getting EMS involved. Good idea using a new line, but the patient was still hanging uncomfortably Transferring the victim from one system to another holds an increase in risk. It seemed unnecessary. Transferred of of V rig.
safety3.8Continued inspection and assessment3.0Identification of hazards2.5Method and execution of mitigation2.0Assessment of casualty situation3.8Administration of first aid & assessing of urgency4.3Adequate and appropriate tabilization of casualty for transport3.3Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in3.5Quality of transport for the casualty3.5Quality of transition from suspension to injury appropriate position on ground4.5	Climber didn't really speak at dif. Sometimes in Spanish. If this whyse system worked very well did offered him differences are some about the bees. Good choice Body thrust ascent, not efficient, but safe. Epi pen mentioned. Light team comms. Efficient access. They didn't really talk about hazards and didn't do anything about them hazards were identified but the hanger wasn't really acknowledged Dead limb identified. Cone placed below. Not really discussed. Work carried out below dead limb. No mention of the hazards or mitigation. I liked that they gave the Epi pen in the tree, or as soon as possible. I would have had the pen on me already and had the lowering line attached to my belt. Could have saved a bunch of time. Otherwise they didn't really show any care to the patient while aloft the whole crew reminded the rescuer to stay aware of the casualty's status. Cool points Cursory casualty assessment. Epi pen administered in tree with instruction from EMS. Climber with visor up. Good communication and in the tree assessment, nice job of getting EMS involved. Good idea using a new line, but the patient was still hanging uncomfortably Transferring the victim from one system to another holds an increase in risk. It seemed unnecessary. Transferred off of V rig. Gentle handling. No
assessment3.0Identification of hazards2.5Method and execution of mitigation2.0Assessment of casualty situation3.8Administration of first aid & assessing of urgency4.3Adequate and appropriate transport3.3Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in3.5Quality of transport for the casualty3.5Quality of transition from suspension to injury appropriate position on ground4.5	mentioned. Light team comms. Efficient access. They didn't really talk about hazards and didn't do anything about them hazards were identified but the hanger wasn't really acknowledged Dead limb identified. Cone placed below. Not really discussed. Work carried out below dead limb. No mention of the hazards or mitigation. I liked that they gave the Epi pen in the tree, or as soon as possible. I would have had the pen on me already and had the lowering line attached to my belt. Could have saved a bunch of time. Otherwise they didn't really show any care to the patient while aloft the whole crew reminded the rescuer to stay aware of the casualty's status. Cool points Cursory casualty assessment. Epi pen administered in tree with instruction from EMS. Climber with visor up. Good communication and in the tree assessment, nice job of getting EMS involved. Good idea using a new line, but the patient was still hanging uncomfortably Transferring the victim from one system to another holds an increase in risk. It seemed unnecessary. Transferred off of V rig. Gentle handling. No
Method and execution of mitigation 2.0 Assessment of casualty situation 3.8 Administration of first aid & assessing of urgency 4.3 Adequate and appropriate tabilization of casualty for transport 3.3 Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in 3.5 Quality of transport for the casualty 3.5 Quality of transition from suspension to injury appropriate position on ground 4.5	I liked that they gave the Epi pen in the tree, or as soon as possible. I would have had the pen on me already and had the lowering line attached to my belt. Could have saved a bunch of time. Otherwise they didn't really show any care to the patient while aloft the whole crew reminded the rescuer to stay aware of the casualty's status. Cool points Cursory casualty assessment. Epi pen administered in tree with instruction from EMS. Climber with visor up. Good communication and in the tree assessment, nice job of getting EMS involved.
mitigation2.0Assessment of casualty situation3.8Administration of first aid & assessing of urgency4.3Adequate and appropriate tabilization of casualty for transport3.3Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in3.5Quality of transport for the casualty3.5Quality of transition from suspension to injury appropriate position on ground4.5	below dead limb. No mention of the hazards or mitigation. I liked that they gave the Epi pen in the tree, or as soon as possible. I would have had the pen on me already and had the lowering line attached to my belt. Could have saved a bunch of time. Otherwise they didn't really show any care to the patient while aloft Cursory casualty assessment. Epi pen administered in tree with instruction from EMS. Climber with visor up. Good idea using a new line, but the patient was still hanging uncomfortably Good idea using a new line, but the patient was still hanging uncomfortably Transferring the victim from one system to another holds an increase in risk. It seemed unnecessary.
situation3.8Administration of first aid & assessing of urgency4.3Adequate and appropriate tabilization of casualty for transport3.3Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in3.5Quality of transport for the casualty3.5Quality of transition from suspension to injury appropriate position on ground4.5	I liked that they gave the Epi pen in the tree, or as soon as possible. I would have had the pen on me already and had the lowering line attached to my belt. Could have saved a bunch of time. Otherwise they didn't really show any care to the patient while aloft the whole crew reminded the rescuer to stay aware of the casualty's status. Cool points Cursory casualty assessment. Epi pen administered in tree with instruction from EMS. Climber with visor up. Good communication and in the tree assessment, nice job of getting EMS involved.
& assessing of urgency 4.3 & assessing of urgency 4.3 Adequate and appropriate tabilization of casualty for transport 3.3 Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in 3.5 Quality of transport for the casualty 3.5 Quality of transition from suspension to injury appropriate position on ground 4.5	Iowering line attached to my belt. Could have saved a bunch of time. Otherwise they didn't really show any care to the patient while aloft the whole crew reminded the rescuer to stay aware of the casualty's status. Cool points Cursory casualty assessment. Epi pen administered in tree with instruction from EMS. Climber with visor up. Goo communication and in the tree assessment, nice job of getting EMS involved. Good idea using a new line, but the patient was still hanging uncomfortably Transferring the victim from one system to another holds an increase in risk. It seemed unnecessary. Transferred off of V rig. Gentle handling. No
tabilization of casualty for transport3.3Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in3.5Quality of transport for the casualty3.5Quality of transition from suspension to injury appropriate position on ground4.5	communication and in the tree assessment, nice job of getting EMS involved. Good idea using a new line, but the patient was still hanging uncomfortably Transferring the victim from one system to another holds an increase in risk. It seemed unnecessary. Transferred off of V rig. Gentle handling. No
technical execution of casualty support/tie in3.5Quality of transport for the casualty3.5Quality of transition from suspension to injury 	Good idea using a new line, but the patient was still hanging uncomfortably Transferring the victim from one system to another holds an increase in risk. It seemed unnecessary. Transferred off of V rig. Gentle handling. No
Casualty 3.5 Quality of transition from suspension to injury appropriate position on ground 4.5	system to another holds an increase in risk. It seemed unnecessary. Transferred off of V rig. Gentle handling. No
suspension to injury appropriate position on ground	packaging, but smooth descent. Good control of injured.
suspension to injury appropriate position on ground	
0 ()	Site was clean but no real attention was payed to any kind of suspension trauma Everyone partook in the landin
Care of site, scene, and equipment 3.8	and care of the victim. all appendages were positioned and accounted for. Good transfer to EMS. Neck supported EMS further evaluating casualty. Good job of working with EMS, site was in a little disarray.
Transition of care to EMS 4.0	
Communicating the plan 3.5	
Communicating the hazards 2.8	Not great communication. High marks here for using more than one language to ascertain solid communication. Well done everyone! Light on comms throughout. Plan wasn't that clear, no real mention of the hazards. Good job of working with EMS.
Communicating with, for, and about the casualty 3.5	
Reaction 4.0	
Planning 3.8	The rescue seemed very real. Loved the fire truck! Gave me goose bumps and made the whole situation seem so real! Great role play. Decent overall. Good EMS role play Good overall enactment.
Overall Realism 3.8	

