Scenario 3 - Pretty Pink Ponies		
	1	uff.com/Rescue-Rally Watch The Rescue Here: <u>https://youtu.be/Wd2EHa3LXUw</u>
Category	Score (X / 5)	Notes & Commentary from Officials
Site assessment and creation of plan	4.3	Call to EMS was a bit brief Very thorough call Dead limb and bees identified. Job site set with cones. Inadequate 911 call. Hazards were identified and the plan addressed avoidance if not mitigation. A little more detail to EMS would have been helpful.
Contacted emergency services; quality of explanation and info provided	3.0	
Efficiency, speed, and safety	4.3	Safe and efficient assent, just slow to get the line set Nice install, beautiful ascent Smooth, efficient ascent. Continuing assessment of tree and casualty. Mentioned stay clear of dead limb. Communicating plan. Realistic, i not fast response to access the injured.
Continued inspection and assessment	4.5	
Identification of hazards	4.5	Good job staying out from under the dead limb acknowledgements of hazards were constant. Identified dead limb hazard. Communicated plan. Identified and avoided the hazard for the rescuer and injured.
Method and execution of mitigation	4.5	
Assessment of casualty situation	4.5	Took good care of the patient, didn't knock him around too much while still being quick nice Good continued casualty assessment. No first aid or packaging. Given the scenario, getting casualty to the ground is paramount. Jostling casualty while hauling. Identified the allergic reaction, response was appropriate for the injury.
Administration of first aid & assessing of urgency	3.8	
Adequate and appropriate tabilization of casualty for transport	3.8	
Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in	4.5	Great idea for a rescue! This was the highest positioned casualty of all the videos. The descent with the victim wa just excellent. Smooth, efficient. Unable to see rescue/hauling system, but looks like it was safe and secure. Most smooth handling of casualty. Main focus was on safety and not speed.
Quality of transport for the casualty	4.5	
Quality of transition from		
suspension to injury appropriate position on ground	4.0	Great job executing the plan Suspension trauma is a long debated topic and the rescuer did his best since he was uncertain how long the victim was in suspension Casualty left hanging while Tom cleared his own system, then casualty supported. Needed teamwork! Some site clutter. Good EMS comms. Good to address the potential for suspension trauma.
Care of site, scene, and equipment	4.3	
Transition of care to EMS	4.5	
Communicating the plan	3.8	
Communicating the hazards	4.0	Great communication. Would have been better with a few more crew members but they did a good job with what they had Both rescue technicians communicated constantly and clearly Tom did an excellent job climbing and working aloft very smooth and controlled. Good comms from Tom, but no response from the team. No teamwork! A little more communication with the injured would have been better.
Communicating with, for, and about the casualty	4.3	
	3.5	
Reaction	5.5	Pretty realistic. Just a bit too casual and a bit slow I hope if I need to be rescued that these two are close by Meh Discussions off camera were a little distracting and took away from the realism of the enactment.
Planning	4.3	