and the second	<b>X</b> R
· · · ·	

## TreeStuff Aerial Rescue Rally by Kask Scenario 1 - Canopy Burners



Treestuff.com/Rescue-Rally   Watch The Rescue Here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NP9ArA0pIF8			
Category	Score ( X / 5 )		
Site assessment and creation of plan	3.8	Good job at communicating patient condition and gave height!   Seemed a bit rushed and they hung up on EMS   Ven thorough look around the site with all hands on deck   Working well as a team. Didn't exactly hear a plan communicated.   Good detail to EMS, but not much detail on plan.   good site assessment and communication of hazards and where to avoid, clear and concise communication with 911. Should have remained on the phone with 911 until they hang up never say im gonna call you back	
Contacted emergency services; quality of explanation and info provided	4.2		
Efficiency, speed, and safety	3.3	Excellent assessment - communicated patient had a epi-pen (and who to contact - the girlfriend)   Access line was far away and their plan to pull him over to the casualty was inefficient and didn't make sense   Access to the victim was	
Continued inspection and assessment	4.0	measured and not rushed. Assessment of crown, hazards and victim are continual   Took a bit to get off the ground Interesting transfer of climber to casualty's position, but why not just go up next to him in the first place? Good communication with team and injured.   Great ascent outside the drop area and continued assessment	
	2.7		
Identification of hazards	3.7	Good plan   Why didn't they lower the piece of wood??   Adding a mechanical advantage and a system that passes the "whistle test" was nicely done.   Log secured but left hanging.   Spent too much time with the chain saw, should have just dropped it.   Felt you could have handled the hazard better and quicker but good job avoiding the struck by zone.	
Method and execution of mitigation	3.2		
Assessment of casualty	4.2		
situation dministration of first aid	3.2	Did a head to toe assessment but no follow through on first aid   I didn't think it was a good idea to wrap his lanyard around the casualty after he had just been sucked into the stem. I think this would make the injury worse   Head to t	
& assessing of urgency	5.2	assessment is continual and the information is relayed to ground support.   Thorough assessment. Climber's lanyard pushing casualty against tree. Appeared to be no packaging.   Didn't do a head to toe evaluation.   Good assessme but never declared credentials or training to reposition victim for intervention. would have been good when talking to 911 to state training and or credentials. Didnt like the big movement of the victim out of the union.	
dequate and appropriate abilization of casualty for transport	2.2		
Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in	2.3	Unless I saw it wrong, the patient was lowered head down - a no-no for a head injury   Their plan to extract the climba was terrible! The rescuer should have redirected his line to make the decent smoother and safer   Choice of system wa good but left the rescuer temporarily off balance during descent.   Don't understand what's going on here. Rough handling at first.   Big swing on the initial descent.   Climbers use of AKIMBO was less than great, in the event the	
Puality of transport for the casualty	2.3	victims system fails the rescuer would take 100% of the victims weight thus exceeding the weight the AKIMBO is rat for.	
Quality of transition from			
suspension to injury appropriate position on ground	2.7	No EMS involvement   I don't think the team should have moved the climber from the landing position. That's for the EMS to do.   Suspension trauma is not mentioned but every measure was taken to land the casualty carefully.   God comms with EMS. Didn't like the crew lifting and moving the casualty.   Not clear where EMS was.   Liked how you	
Care of site, scene, and equipment	3.0	traversed the patient away from the tree, no EMS on scene means no communication with first responders and not landing the patient as directed by EMS.	
Transition of care to EMS	2.7		
Communicating the plan	3.7	Better communication about patient than many of the others   They communicated well with the casualty but the tear	
Communicating the hazards	3.0	seemed disorganized and rushed   Their communication was calm, clear and all about the casualty.   Crew worked well together, but I didn't hear plans being communicated.   Good communication with the injured.   Good team	
Communicating with, for,	3.7	communication but moving the patient prior to EMS arrival wasnt good. Then EMS arrives and no one communicates with EMS. Though I understand your team is trained as first responders, you are tree guys today and not first respon today and never identified yourselves or credentials to EMS.	
and about the casualty			
Reaction	3.7	Good job at setting up their roles   I just thought overall it was sloppy.   Textbook rescue.   Mixed bag   Hard to create a realistic emergency.   LACK OF EYE PRO. length of time it took to correct the victims issues was less than impressive. Very good rescue but remember the role you play when a tree worker vs when you are working as a first responder.	
Planning	3.7		