



TreeStuff Aerial Rescue Rally by Kask Scenario 1 - Chip Win



Treestuff.com/Rescue-Rally | Watch The Rescue Here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nly72SjOwys>

Category	Score (X / 5)	Notes & Commentary from Officials
Site assessment and creation of plan	1.8	Zip, focus was on climbing tree - little thought to tree or patient! There was a look around at the site but no 911 call Little plan communication. No hazard assessment. No 911 call. Not clear if EMS was contacted and if hazards were assessed. never called EMS and no jobsite inspection or discussion of plan
Contacted emergency services; quality of explanation and info provided	1.4	
Efficiency, speed, and safety	3.4	Good ascent but no communication with patient Situational awareness and communication were minimal Relatively smooth ascent. No assessment. No comms with crew upon arrival at casualty. Not much detail in assessing the injured and little communication. Good ascent but under the load and no situational awareness or ongoing inspection/patient communication
Continued inspection and assessment	1.6	
Identification of hazards	2.0	Minimal discussion or thought to hazards Only one hazard was addressed. The method of mitigation was not secure. It was reliant upon the two ground support people who could have been performing other tasks No plan communicated. Throwing rope. Holding log by hand. Very little attention to the hazards or their mitigation. Workers under load causing potential for struck bys, good getting the load secured quickly but need more communication
Method and execution of mitigation	1.4	
Assessment of casualty situation	1.6	Little assessment of casualty, no administration of first aid mentioned, injuries were not mentioned in the packaging process. No assessment. No packaging or aid. No comms. Minimal care of the injured. Great sense of urgency but no assessment of patient and no ems to help with assessment.
Administration of first aid & assessing of urgency	2.0	
Adequate and appropriate stabilization of casualty for transport	1.6	
Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in	2.0	No mention of the length of the casualty's climbing line or tie in point Minimal care of the injured. no patient care or consideration made about patient care
Quality of transport for the casualty	1.8	
Quality of transition from suspension to injury appropriate position on ground	1.6	Very little communicated about what they were doing and why Landing went straight to the ground with no mention of hand off to EMS or injuries. Site is free of clutter. No communication to EMS Laid flat. No EMS. Minimal care of the injured. no ems or first responders called
Care of site, scene, and equipment	2.2	
Transition of care to EMS	1.2	
Communicating the plan	2.2	Little discussion More communication with, for and about the casualty would have made this a better rescue. No plan. Little delegation. No EMS or casualty comms. Very little communication of the plan, hazards or mitigation. fair communication but lack of awareness and acknowledgement of crew
Communicating the hazards	1.6	
Communicating with, for, and about the casualty	1.4	
Reaction	2.4	Hard to make a real life scenario. felt real but also staged, points lost for worker not calling EMS and not having chin strap strapped.
Planning	2.0	
Overall Realism	2.2	



TreeStuff Aerial Rescue Rally by Kask Scenario 1 - Chip Win

