



TreeStuff Aerial Rescue Rally by Kask Scenario 1 - Keyzor Consultants



Treestuff.com/Rescue-Rally | Watch The Rescue Here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vIclAdj9E2Y>

Category	Score (X / 5)	Notes & Commentary from Officials
Site assessment and creation of plan	2.5	Limited tree/site assessment, could not hear EMS call Great and realistic rescue plan of action I didn't hear the call but I could hear that the caller was giving a lot of information. The rescue plan came together very quickly No plan communicated. Ground crew seems to be organising things, but difficult to hear. Vague site assessment. Difficult to hear, but seemed to be very thorough EMS call. A little hard to hear, but it sounds like they communicated the correct details to EMS. No real assessment of the hazards or a plan. Hard to hear due to wind, good communication with First responders but no site assessment and inspection prior to ascent.
Contacted emergency services; quality of explanation and info provided	3.8	
Efficiency, speed, and safety	4.3	Not strong communication with the ground on status of patient or tree Great communication with both victim and team Access to the victim was quick and well planned Smooth and fast ascent. Some comms with crew. Good job of cutting the log! Don't bother lowering the saw, just throw it! Great ascent speed, lack of situational awareness and ascent below the victim.
Continued inspection and assessment	3.3	
Identification of hazards	3.2	Running a chainsaw that close!!!! I think cutting the piece free was the quickest way to mitigate the hazard. Only docked them a point cause the saw was a bit close to the casualty and would be scary if they were aware of it at all and could have accidentally cut the casualty or their gear if they were rushing or nervous I only heard them address the one hazard, that being the log. Cutting the log off made quick work of the breathing hazard but was uncontrolled. Hard to score that one. Crew walking under unsecured log. Cutting the log with a chainsaw!!!! Didn't really address the hazards. Liked the choice of removing the hazard by cutting it away, but didn't like the ascent below the victim and load prior to securing load
Method and execution of mitigation	2.3	
Assessment of casualty situation	2.5	
Administration of first aid & assessing of urgency	2.7	No first aid considered Not a ton of casualty care. Mostly focused on getting him down Rescuer communicated with ground support and made many attempts to roust the victim in case his status changed. He was also very reassuring to the victim. Chest harness keeping casualty upright. Weak assessment. Climber's rope against casualty's head. No detail. no assessment, no discussion about abilities or training to perform aerial rescue. Good control of the victim and body position.
Adequate and appropriate stabilization of casualty for transport	2.7	
Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in	3.7	Good lowering process I think the speed of the rescue helped in not having any suspension trauma Handling was careful but improved as the two came within reach of the ground support. Smooth descent. No detail of care. Kept it simple and effective!
Quality of transport for the casualty	3.5	
Quality of transition from suspension to injury appropriate position on ground	4.2	No EMS involvement Best transfer to EMS yet!! Lots of care and information. Loved how they timed themselves to be able to tell EMS how long he was hanging there Victims final position was perfect and they put everything into landing him where they could administer care and hand the casualty over to EMS Excellent handling by crew. Clean worksite. Good control but no transfer to EMS. No transfer of care to EMS but liked landing the victim on the tarp and in a controlled manner
Care of site, scene, and equipment	4.0	
Transition of care to EMS	2.8	
Communicating the plan	3.3	Other than a unresponsive person and a limb on them - not much given Awesome communication! Clear and precise The plan to cut the log off was clear to everyone and everyone was well out of the way. Everyone was given a job. Some comms. Couldn't really hear the ground crew, but I'm assuming they were organising a plan. Good communication with team, lack of communication about the hazards present and no EMS to communicate with on scene.
Communicating the hazards	3.2	
Communicating with, for, and about the casualty	2.7	
Reaction	3.5	An okay job This seemed like the most realistic rescue yet. Super simple, everyone played their part. Loved the communication with EMS at the end. Wanting to take pictures for the de brief and asking what hospital he was going to!
Planning	3.3	Brilliant! I think I'll watch that one again! This was very well done but I could tell it was rehearsed. Hard to recreate a real emergency. lack of chin straps, lack of eye protection, good realism!
Overall Realism	3.2	



TreeStuff Aerial Rescue Rally by Kask Scenario 1 - Keyzor Consultants

