

TreeStuff Aerial Rescue Rally by Kask Scenario 1 - Quality Tree



Treestuff.com/Rescue-Rally Watch The Rescue Here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i4upr1m-MYs		
Category	Score (X/5)	Notoc X. (ommontary trom ()thicialc
Site assessment and creation of plan	4.2	Good discussion of tree hazards but could not really hear the EMS call Couldn't hear the EMS call but the site walk through was good The plan was spoken clearly to all hands. I did not know who was the incident commander was because they all acted as a team. I couldn't hear if the caller specified to EMS if it was a high angle rescue. Good assessment of tree and site. Vague EMS comms (difficult to hear). No plan communicated. hard to hear inspection but fair assessment, hard to hear communication with 911
Contacted emergency services; quality of explanation and info provided	2.8	
Efficiency, speed, and safety	3.2	Liked the communication with ground - no head! Climbers ascent could have been quicker if he had the second system with him. Inspection of equipment was constant and verbalized to all hands. Traditional footlock ascent. Nice, especially since it's not easy to talk and footlock. Moderate speed/efficient ascent. Did not bring climbing system with. Fiddling with ropes. good communication but lack of ongoing assessment and inspection
Continued inspection and assessment	3.0	
Identification of hazards	2.4	A focus only on the limb, not other hazards Not having any friction or brake on lowering the heavy piece of wood was scary I noted that the spring pin on the rigging block was positioned "inboard" where there is a definite opening hazard when the load comes to bare. Also the "remainder" of the sling (I dislike the term "tail") was left hanging in the running rigging. The two technicians on the ground worked right below the log with only their hands and body weight to control the load and lowered it onto climbing lines on the ground Crew walking under unsecured log. Climber's lanyard impacting casualty's lanyard snap. Tied rigging line around casualty lanyard. Holding log by hand. workers were under load and created potential for struck by during initial inspection, lack of urgency and didnt secure hazard/load first.
Method and execution of mitigation	2.2	
Assessment of casualty		
situation	3.2	Not a lot of discussion on any first aid Established a hard connect and set him up straight. Lowering him from the ground was smart but slow EMS asked clear questions and rescuer gives constant feedback. Casualty assessment was constant. Casualty remained original body position. Bumping, jostling casualty. Strap added to keep upright. Laid flat. good communication with EMS but never stated credentials or training. Good job following EMS instructions and being the EYE of EMS.
Administration of first aid & assessing of urgency	2.4	
Adequate and appropriate stabilization of casualty for transport	2.6	
Choice of system and		
technical execution of casualty support/tie in	3.2	Good head support Nice and controlled decent, just a bit slow Handling was understandably choppy in places. Communication is constant and urgent. The team also comes to a level of realistic stress that is dealt with professionally. I could hear egos flarethen check. Just excellent control. Smooth descent. A bit cluttered during casualty prep. lots of unneeded movement of the victim
Quality of transport for the casualty	3.6	
Quality of transition from		
suspension to injury appropriate position on ground	3.8	Great communication with EMS Rescue team asks EMS how to land the casualty and EMS gives clear instructions. This is an important component because it begins the transfer process. Well done. Laid flat. EMS on site and assisting. Good communication with first responders and doing as they ask
Care of site, scene, and equipment	3.4	
Transition of care to EMS	3.2	
Communicating the plan	3.6	
Communicating the plan		Good discussion regarding tree but not much with patient Great communication High marks for their communication because it was constant, clear, controlled and helpful. They attempted to speak to the casualty constantly in case his status changed. Didn't hear a clear plan. Crew walking under log. Vague EMS comms. Decent communication but workers were under the load even after it was identified. Better control of struck by potential in the drop zone.
hazards Communicating with, for,	3.2	
and about the casualty	3.4	
Reaction	3.6	Good and even added a little humor They did a good job, just didn't like the lack of control for the wood being lowered My stress level went up and it felt like a real life rescue. I could tell each person immersed themselves fully in the rescue. To me it was real no chin strap strapped, good training footage but lacked sense of urgency that a real situation should have
Planning	3.2	
Overall Realism	3.4	



