

TreeStuff Aerial Rescue Rally by Kask Scenario 1 - Viking Tree



Treestuff.com/Rescue-Rally Watch The Rescue Here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=szG2jcufg8M		
Category	Score (X/5)	Notes & Commentary trom Otticials
Site assessment and creation of plan	2.2	Little thought to tree assessment. hard to hear EMS call but good location info Call is unclear over background noise. Plan was a bit rushed. Job site's a bit cluttered. No site assessment or plan communicated. Some delegation. No clear site lead. Difficult to hear the 911 call, but sounded like he was giving appropriate info. Why is there music in this vid??? Other folks in the park? No detail of what was communicated to EMS, just that they would be there in 20 minutes. No clear communication of the plan or risk assessment. hard to hear and see whats going on due to music in back ground and head mounted cameras. No EMS called
Contacted emergency services; quality of explanation and info provided	2.8	
Efficiency, speed, and safety	3.0	Only checked for breathing Good communication but they took a while sorting out the 2 rescue lines communication with team is constant but situational awareness suffers. Tangled lines. Scattered folks and gear everywhere. Forgotten equipment and some swearing and a belch (LOL!!!) Good communication but not much detail on the hazards. Hard to see victim or situational awareness of the hazard
Continued inspection and assessment	3.0	
Identification of hazards	2.5	Again focus on only the limb They tied off the piece of wood but left it hanging. Should have lowered it and got rid of the hazard They really only address one hazard Someone mentioned the log, but everyone walking underneath it. Decent lift. No real assessment of the hazards for either, lack of communication of hazard but good job securing hazard with urgency.
Method and execution of mitigation	2.7	
Assessment of casualty		
situation	2.0	Little thought on the patient's needs Not much care or assessment given to the casualty. It was fast, but not thorough Greater care for the victim's condition could have been given. Getting him down looks like the top priority. Placed a strap haphazardly to keep him sort of upright. More detail on the care of the injured would have been better. no assessment or patient care before moving log
Administration of first aid & assessing of urgency	2.3	
Adequate and appropriate stabilization of casualty for transport	2.3	
Choice of system and technical execution of casualty support/tie in	3.0	Hard to see what they were doing 3-1 system for the victim was unnecessary. More attention should have been paid to handling the casualty based on his injury Having two rescuers was great because they are able to give greater care Tri-loading carabiner in rigging? Nice lift, but this is a mess. Can't see the systems to properly assess. More detail on the care of the injured would have been better. moving of patient without care for injuries and no guidance by ems.
Quality of transport for the casualty	2.5	
Quality of transition from		
suspension to injury appropriate position on ground	2.0	No involvement with EMS No real plan was given to EMS. No attention paid to suspension trauma. They just lowered him right down and Unclipped him No mention of suspension trauma is mentioned. It looks forgotten Dumped him on the ground. Gear scattered everywhere. No EMS interaction. No clear transfer to EMS. No ems on site for transfer of care and very hard to see how the patient was removed due to video angle
Care of site, scene, and equipment	2.5	
Transition of care to EMS	1.5	
Communicating the plan	3.0	Weak on tree and patient assessment The plan was well communicated but the communication to EMS was weak Lot's of information about the casualty is given Nope Not much clarity on the plan. lack of communication with team about situation and plan, no communication with EMS about situation or patient, no transfer of care
Communicating the hazards	2.5	
Communicating with, for, and about the casualty	2.5	
Reaction	3.0	For non-gators, g good job Overall a prothy and described in \$2.000
Planning	2.7	For non-actors, a good job Overall a pretty good rescue. Not sure if 2 rescuers was necessary. I would have utilized the second climber on the ground to assist with the rescue and ems Rehearsed Probably how most crews would respond in real life. Ouch. Hard to create a real emergency situaiton. LACK OF EYE PRO! Lots of clutter, working under the load. Forgot rescue bag, complex systems installed and no ability to see what was happening due to camera angle. I feel this could have been a very good rescue but couldnt see it due to camera angles.
Overall Realism	3.0	



